IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

NADIYA WILLIAMS-BOLDWARE,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO.: 4:17-CV-00859-ALM-KPJ
	§	
DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS	§	
	§	
Defendant	§	

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Defendant Denton County, Texas ("Defendant") files this Answer to the Complaint ("Complaint") of Plaintiff Nadiya Williams-Boldware ("Plaintiff"), respectfully stating the following:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Parties

- 1. Defendant is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1, and thus, such allegations are denied. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.
- 2. Defendant admits that it is a governmental entity and that it can be served by serving County Judge Mary Horn at 110 West Hickory Street, 2nd Floor, Denton County, Texas 76201. Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 2. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.
- 3. The allegations contained in Paragraph 3 are legal and require no factual response by Defendant, although Defendant denies any liability to Plaintiff under any theory,

including, without limitation, any theory of respondeat superior, vicarious liability,

authorization or ratification. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is

denied.

Jurisdiction

4. The allegations contained in Paragraph 4 are legal and jurisdictional, which

require no factual response by Defendant, although Defendant maintains that this Court lacks

jurisdiction over some or all of Plaintiff's claims based on the doctrine of sovereign

immunity and, additionally, with respect to 42 U.S.C. § 1981, because § 1981 does not create

a separate cause of action against a local government entity independent of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Defendant further denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the

extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

5. The allegations contained in Paragraph 5 are legal and require no factual

response by Defendant, although Defendant maintains that sovereign immunity bars

Plaintiff's claims under Chapter 21 of the Texas Labor Code; nor does supplemental

jurisdiction encompass those Chapter 21 claims. Defendant further denies that any unlawful

employment practices were committed. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any

way, it is denied.

6. The allegations contained Paragraph 6 are legal and require no factual

response by Defendant. To the extent a response is required, the allegations are denied as

stated. Defendant further denies that it may be sued in federal court under some or all of the

statutes referenced in Paragraphs 4, 5, and 6 of the Complaint and maintains that some or all

of Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part. Defendant also denies that any unlawful

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

employment practices were committed. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any

way, it is denied.

7. The allegations contained Paragraph 7 are denied as stated, although

Defendant admits that Plaintiff has been employed from February 28, 2007 through the

present. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

8. The allegations contained in Paragraph 8 are denied as stated. Defendant

further denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed or that any

"continuing practice" or continuing violation occurred. To the extent this Paragraph implies

liability in any way, it is denied.

9. Defendant is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as

to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 9, and thus, such allegations

are denied. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

10. The allegations contained in Paragraph 10 are denied as stated. Defendant

further denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed or that any

"continuing practice" or continuing violation occurred. To the extent this Paragraph implies

liability in any way, it is denied.

11. The allegations contained in Paragraph 11 are legal and require no factual

response by Defendant. To the extent a response is required, the allegations contained in

Paragraph 11 are denied. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is

denied.

12. The allegations contained in Paragraph 12 are legal and jurisdictional and

require no factual response by Defendant, although Defendant maintains that this Court lacks

jurisdiction over some or all of Plaintiff's claims, as set forth above in Paragraphs 4, 5, and 6

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

of this Answer and also in the defenses asserted below. Defendant further denies that any

unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this Paragraph implies

liability in any way, it is denied.

13. The allegations contained in Paragraph 13 are legal and jurisdictional and

require no factual response by Defendant, although Defendant maintains that this Court lacks

jurisdiction over some or all of Plaintiff's claims, as set forth above in Paragraphs 4, 5, 6, and

12 of this Answer and also in the defenses asserted below. Defendant further denies that any

unlawful employment practices were committed or that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief

whatsoever. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

14. The allegations contained in Paragraph 14 are legal and jurisdictional and

require no factual response by Defendant, although Defendant denies that the Court has

supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims asserted by Plaintiff. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed or that Plaintiff is entitled to

any relief whatsoever. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

Venue

15. Defendant does not contest venue but denies that any unlawful employment

practices occurred or that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief whatsoever. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

Facts

16. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 as stated but

admits that Plaintiff is an African-American female and that her employment began on or

about February 28, 2007. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is

denied.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS
TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

- 17. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 as stated but admits that Plaintiff filed a lawsuit on December 1, 2009 in Civil Docket Number 4:09-cv-00591-RC-AM. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.
- 18. The allegations contained in Paragraph 18 are denied as stated. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.
- 19. The allegations contained in Paragraph 19 are denied. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.
- 20. The allegations contained in Paragraph 20 are admitted. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.
- 21. The allegations contained in Paragraph 21 are admitted. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.
- 22. The allegations contained in Paragraph 22 are admitted. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.
- 23. The allegations contained in Paragraph 23 are admitted. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.
- 24. The allegations contained in Paragraph 24 are admitted. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.
- 25. The allegations contained in Paragraph 25 are admitted. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.
- 26. The allegations contained in Paragraph 26 are admitted. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

27. The allegations contained in Paragraph 27 are legal and require no factual

response by Defendant. To the extent a response is required, the allegations contained in

Paragraph 27 are denied. Defendant further denies that any unlawful employment practices

were committed. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

28. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 as stated but

admits that Mr. Johnson was re-elected as Denton County Criminal District Attorney on or

about May 27, 2014. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

29. The allegations contained in Paragraph 29 are denied as stated. To the

extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

30. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 30 as stated but

admits that on or about July 30, 2014, Plaintiff was transferred at her voluntary request to the

position of Misdemeanor Prosecutor I in the Intake Division. To the extent this Paragraph

implies liability in any way, it is denied.

31. The allegations contained in Paragraph 31 are denied as stated. To the

extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

32. The allegations contained in Paragraph 32 are denied as stated. To the

extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

33. The allegations contained in Paragraph 33 are denied as stated. To the

extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

34. The allegations contained in Paragraph 34 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

35. The allegations contained in Paragraph 35 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

36. The allegations contained in Paragraph 36 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

37. The allegations contained in Paragraph 37 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

38. The allegations contained in Paragraph 38 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

39. The allegations contained in Paragraph 39 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

Causes of Action

Race, Color, [sic] Harassment, and Discrimination under 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e,

1981, 1983

40. Defendant acknowledges that Plaintiff asserts claims against Defendant

under 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e, 1981, and 1983 but denies that any unlawful employment

practices were committed or that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief whatsoever. Defendant

reasserts its responses and defenses to all other paragraphs of this Answer as though set forth

fully herein. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

41. The allegations contained in Paragraph 41 are admitted. Defendant denies

that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this Paragraph

implies liability in any way, it is denied.

42. The allegations contained in Paragraph 42 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

43. The allegations contained in Paragraph 43 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

44. The allegations contained in Paragraph 44 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

45. The allegations contained in Paragraph 45 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

46. The allegations contained in Paragraph 46 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

47. The allegations contained in Paragraph 47 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

48. The allegations contained in Paragraph 48 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

49. The allegations contained in Paragraph 49 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed or that Plaintiff is entitled to

any relief whatsoever. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

Retaliation and Discrimination Under Texas Law

50. The allegations contained in Paragraph 50 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

51. The allegations contained in Paragraph 51 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

52. The allegations contained in Paragraph 52 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

53. The allegations contained in Paragraph 53 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed or that Plaintiff is entitled to

any relief whatsoever. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

Retaliation and Discrimination Under Federal Law

54. The allegations contained in Paragraph 54 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

55. The allegations contained in Paragraph 55 are denied. Defendant further denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed. To the extent this

Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

56. The allegations contained in Paragraph 56 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed or that Plaintiff is entitled to

any relief whatsoever. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

Damages

57. The allegations contained in Paragraph 57 are denied. Defendant further

denies that any unlawful employment practices were committed or that Plaintiff is entitled to

any relief whatsoever. To the extent this Paragraph implies liability in any way, it is denied.

Jury Demand

58. Defendant acknowledges Plaintiff's request for a jury trial.

Prayer

59. The remainder of the Complaint consists of a prayer for relief which

requires neither admission nor denial. Defendant denies any allegations or inferences of

wrongdoing or illegality and denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief whatsoever.

60. Any Complaint allegations not expressly admitted herein are hereby denied

in their entirety.

DEFENSES

1. This Court lacks jurisdiction over some or all of Plaintiff's claims under

federal law and also lacks supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under

Chapter 21 of the Texas Labor Code ("Chapter 21").

2. Plaintiff fails to state a claim for which relief can be granted.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

3. To the extent that the doctrines of claim preclusion, issue preclusion, res

judicata, and/or collateral estoppel apply to this lawsuit and bar or govern/bind some or all of

Plaintiff's claims and/or the issues in this lawsuit, some or all of Plaintiff's claims and/or the

issues in this lawsuit are barred in whole or in part and/or are governed/bound by the

doctrines of claim preclusion, issue preclusion, res judicata, and/or collateral estoppel.

4. Plaintiff's race and color discrimination, harassment, and retaliation claims

under 42 U.S.C. § 1981 fail because 42 U.S.C. § 1981 does not create a separate cause of

action against a local government entity independent of 42 U.S.C. § 1983,

5. All or part of Plaintiff's claims under Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Chapter 21,

and 42 U.S.C. § 1981 are barred by the doctrine of sovereign immunity. (The defenses

asserted below for these statutes are plead in the alternative, in the event this Court concludes

that some or all of Plaintiff's claims are not barred by sovereign immunity).

6. To the extent that Plaintiff has failed to satisfy any conditions precedent which

are necessary to maintain any of her claims, or failed to exhaust administrative remedies

required under any of her claims, such claims are barred.

7. All claims based on allegations that are beyond the scope of Plaintiff's charge

of discrimination filed with the EEOC or the Texas Workforce Commission—Civil Rights

Division ("TWCCRD") are barred and fail to state a claim on which relief can be granted.

8. All or part of Plaintiff's claims fail to the extent that she did not file a timely

Charge of Discrimination with respect to all or part of her claims and to the extent that she

cannot establish any continuing violation under the applicable law.

9. All or part of Plaintiff's claims are barred by the applicable statutes of

limitation.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

10. Plaintiff's alleged claims for damages are barred, in whole or in part, by

offset, payment, or compensation and benefits received by Plaintiff after any alleged adverse

employment action or otherwise.

11. To the extent that the after-acquired evidence doctrine applies to this lawsuit

and evidence is subsequently acquired which would provide a basis for adverse action,

Plaintiff's alleged claims for damages are barred, in whole or in part.

12. To the extent that Plaintiff has failed to mitigate or has failed to take

reasonable steps to mitigate her alleged damages, if any, such failure bars, or at least reduces,

recovery of such damages.

13. Plaintiff may not recover backpay for any period in which she was unable to

work.

14. Plaintiff's claims may be barred, in whole or in part, by estoppel or by her

own voluntary conduct.

15. Plaintiff has no direct evidence of race or color discrimination, harassment, or

retaliation.

16. At all times, Defendant's actions were based on legitimate, nondiscriminatory,

business-related reasons unrelated to Plaintiff's race or color or to any alleged protected

activity; these legitimate, nondiscriminatory, business-related reasons were undertaken in

good faith and without malice or ill-will towards the Plaintiff.

17. Plaintiff's retaliation claims under Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Chapter 21 of

the Texas Labor Code ("Chapter 21"), and 42 U.S.C. § 1981 fail to the extent that she did not

engage in any protected activity under one or more those respective statutes.

18. Plaintiff's retaliation claims under Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Chapter 21,

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

and 42 U.S.C. § 1981 fail to the extent that she did not experience an adverse employment

action under one or more those respective statutes.

19. Plaintiff's retaliation claims under Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Chapter 21,

and 42 U.S.C. § 1981 fail because she cannot show that any alleged protected activity was the

but-for cause of any alleged adverse employment action.

20. Plaintiff's discrimination claims under Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Chapter

21, and 42 U.S.C. § 1981 fail to the extent that she did not experience an adverse employment

action under one or more those respective statutes.

21. Plaintiff's discrimination claim(s) under Chapter 21 fail because she cannot

establish that her race or color was a motivating factor in any alleged adverse employment

action for purpose of race or color discrimination claims under Chapter 21.

22. To the extent that Plaintiff purports to assert any claim(s) for a retaliatory

hostile work environment under Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Chapter 21, and/or 42 U.S.C.

§ 1981, such claim(s) all fail(s) to the extent that a retaliatory hostile work environment is not

cognizable under some or all of those statutes; alternatively, if a retaliatory hostile work

environment claim is cognizable under any of those statutes, Plaintiff's claim would still fail

because Plaintiff cannot show that any alleged conduct was sufficiently severe or pervasive to

alter Plaintiff's terms and conditions of employment and generate an actionable, objective

retaliatory hostile working environment.

23. The Complaint does not state a claim for race or color-based harassment

because the alleged conduct was not sufficiently severe or pervasive to alter Plaintiff's terms

and conditions of employment and generate an actionable, objectively hostile working

environment.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

24. Plaintiff cannot show that she was subjected to any alleged harassment by a

supervisor within the meaning of Title VII, Chapter 21 or 42 U.S.C. § 1983, or 42 U.S.C.

§ 1981; nor can Plaintiff show that Defendant knew or should have known of any alleged co-

worker harassment by any of Plaintiff's co-workers and failed to take prompt remedial action.

25. With respect to any alleged harassment by a supervisor that did not culminate

in a tangible employment action, Defendant exercised reasonable care to prevent and

promptly correct any such alleged harassment and Plaintiff unreasonably failed to take

advantage of those preventative or corrective measures or to otherwise avoid harm.

26. Plaintiff's claim(s) for punitive or exemplary damages against Defendant

under Title VII fail(s) because Title VII does not permit the imposition of punitive or

exemplary damages against a local government entity; alternatively, Plaintiff's claim for

punitive or exemplary damages under Title VII fails because Plaintiff has no evidence and

cannot show that Defendant acted with malice or reckless indifference to any of her rights

under Title VII,

27. Plaintiff's claim(s) for punitive, exemplary, compensatory damages,

injunctive or declaratory relief, attorney's fees, costs, against Defendant under 42 U.S.C.

§ 1983, Chapter 21, Title VII, and/or 42 U.S.C. § 1981 fail(s) to the extent that any of those

statutes do not permit the imposition of any such relief against a local government entity.

28. Alternatively, Plaintiff may not recover exemplary or punitive damages for

any alleged discrimination, harassment, or retaliation under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Chapter 21, and

42 U.S.C. § 1981, or Title VII because she has no evidence of and cannot show that

Defendant acted with willfulness, malice, reckless indifference, or reckless disregard of her

rights under any of those statutes.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

29. Defendant invokes all applicable damages caps or limitations, including but

not limited to those set forth in 42 U.S.C. § 1981a, §§ 21.258 and 21.2585 of the Texas Labor

Code, and § 41.001, et seq., of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code.

30. Assuming, arguendo, that some or all of the employment decisions Plaintiff

complains of in the Complaint were based on Plaintiff's race, color, or alleged protected

activity (which they were not and which Defendant expressly denies), each of the decisions

affecting Plaintiff would have been made in any event for reasons unrelated to her race, color,

or alleged protected activity.

31. Plaintiff may not recover against Defendant under a theory of vicarious

liability/respondeat superior under Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Chapter 21, or 42 U.S.C.

§ 1981, either because vicarious liability/respondeat superior is not available under some or

all of those statutes or because Plaintiff cannot satisfy the requirements for imposing vicarious

liability/respondeat superior liability.

32. Plaintiff's claims for damages, injunctive relief, attorney's fees, costs, or other

relief under Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Chapter 21, and 42 U.S.C. § 1981 are barred in

whole or in part by the doctrine of sovereign immunity

33. Plaintiff's claim(s) for punitive damages is/are barred to the extent it violates

the Constitution of the United States, the Constitution of the State of Texas, or any federal,

state, local, or other applicable law.

34. Plaintiff may not recover punitive damages under any of her claims unless she

first recovers actual damages.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

35. In addition to the foregoing defenses, Defendant reserves the right to assert any other defenses available under federal, state, local, or other applicable law, by Order of

this Court, or otherwise.

36. The headings used above are for convenience only and shall not be utilized in

construing the meaning or intent of any of the terms of this Answer.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court dismiss Plaintiff's

action with prejudice, grant a take-nothing judgment in favor of Defendant, and award

Defendant its attorney's fees and costs of court. Defendant further requests any other relief

at law and in equity to which it is justly entitled.

Dated: Jan.29, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Hunter Johnson

Hunter Johnson
Attorney in Charge
Texas Bar No. 10753900
hjohnson@contangy.com
Tamara R. Jones
Texas Bar No. 00795115
tjones@constangy.com

CONSTANGY, BROOKS, SMITH & PROPHETE, LLP

1201 Elm Street, Suite 2550 Dallas, Texas 75270 Telephone: (214) 646-8625 Facsimile: (972) 865-4791

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 29th day of January 2018, the foregoing document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send notification of such filing to the following Counsel of Record for Plaintiff and was also sent on the 29th day of January 2018 to the following counsel of record for Plaintiff via e-mail.

Chris Raesz CHRIS RAESZ, P.C. 306 N. Carroll Blvd. Denton, TX 76201 (940) 380-9595

Email: office@chrisraeszpc.com

/s/ Hunter Johnson
Hunter Johnson